

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP  
Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)  
charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com  
Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)  
melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com  
James Judah (Bar No. 257112)  
jamesjudah@quinnemanuel.com  
Lindsay Cooper (Bar No. 287125)  
lindsaycooper@quinnemanuel.com  
50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-4788  
Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Marc Kaplan (*pro hac vice*)  
marckaplan@quinnemanuel.com  
191 N. Wacker Drive, Ste 2700  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
Telephone: (312) 705-7400  
Facsimile: (312) 705-7401

*Attorneys for GOOGLE LLC*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

GOOGLE LLC

**Plaintiff,**

VS.

SONOS, INC.

**Defendant.**

Case No. 3:20-cv-06754-WHA  
Related to Case No. 3:21-cv-07559-WHA

**GOOGLE LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL  
PORTIONS OF ITS OPPOSITION TO  
SONOS'S MOTION TO STRIKE  
PORTIONS OF GOOGLE'S INVALIDITY  
AND NONINFRINGEMENT EXPERT  
REPORTS**

1   **I. INTRODUCTION**

2           Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff Google LLC (“Google”) hereby  
 3 requests to file under seal portions of its Opposition to Sonos’s Motion to Strike Portions of  
 4 Google’s Noninfringement and Invalidity Expert Reports (“Opposition”). Specifically, Google  
 5 requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the document listed below:

<b>Document</b>	<b>Portions to Be Filed Under Seal</b>	<b>Designating Party</b>
Opposition	Portions highlighted in yellow	Google
Exhibit 5 to the Declaration of Marc Kaplan (“Exhibit 5”)	Portions outlined in red boxes	Google
Exhibit 8 to the Declaration of Marc Kaplan (“Exhibit 8”)	Portions outlined in red boxes	Google
Exhibit 9 to the Declaration of Marc Kaplan (“Exhibit 9”)	Portions outlined in red boxes	Google
Exhibit 10 to the Declaration of Marc Kaplan (“Exhibit 10”)	Entire document	Google
Exhibit 13 to the Declaration of Marc Kaplan (“Exhibit 13”)	Portions outlined in red boxes	Google
Exhibit 19 to the Declaration of Marc Kaplan (“Exhibit 19”)	Portions outlined in red boxes	Google
Exhibit 20 to the Declaration of Marc Kaplan (“Exhibit 20”)	Portions outlined in red boxes	Google
Exhibit 21 to the Declaration of Marc Kaplan (“Exhibit 21”)	Portions outlined in red boxes	Google
Exhibit 22 to the Declaration of Marc Kaplan (“Exhibit 22”)	Portions outlined in red boxes	Google
Exhibit 24 to the Declaration of Marc Kaplan (“Exhibit 24”)	Portions outlined in red boxes	Google
Exhibit 25 to the Declaration of Marc Kaplan (“Exhibit 25”)	Entire document	Google

24   **II. LEGAL STANDARD**

25           Civil Local Rule 79-5(c) provides that a party seeking to file its own documents under seal  
 26 must file an administrative motion that articulates the applicable legal standard and reasons for  
 27 keeping a document under seal, includes evidentiary support from a declaration where necessary,  
 28 and provides a proposed order that is narrowly tailored to seal only the sealable material.

1       “Historically, courts have recognized a ‘general right to inspect and copy public records and  
 2 documents, including judicial records and documents.’” *Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu*, 447  
 3 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 597 &  
 4 n.7 (1978)). In the Ninth Circuit, two different standards may apply to a request to seal a document  
 5 – namely the “compelling reasons” standard or the “good cause” standard. *Blessing v. Plex Sys., Inc.*, No. 21-CV-05951-PJH, 2021 WL 6064006, at \*12 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 22, 2021) (citing *Ctr. For Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC*, 809 F.3d 1092, 1096-97 (9th Cir. 2016)). “To seal records in connection with a ‘dispositive’ motion or a motion that ‘more than tangentially relate[s] to the merits of a case,’ ‘compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure’ are required.” *Bronson v. Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc.*, No. C 18-02300 WHA, 2019 WL 7810811, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. May 28, 2019) (quoting *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1178-79). “A particularized showing of ‘good cause’ under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c), however, suffices to warrant sealing in connection with a non-dispositive motion.” *Id.* This Court has analyzed sealing requests in connection with motions to strike pursuant to the “good cause” standard for non-dispositive motions. *See, e.g., Mendell v. Am. Med. Response, Inc.*, No. 19-CV-01227-BAS-KSC, 2021 WL 398486, at \*2 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 3, 2021).

### 17       **III. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL GOOGLE’S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

18       Courts have repeatedly found it appropriate to seal documents that contain “business information that might harm a litigant’s competitive standing.” *Nixon*, 435 U.S. at 589-99. Good cause to seal is shown when a party seeks to seal materials that “contain[ ] confidential information about the operation of [the party’s] products and that public disclosure could harm [the party] by disclosing confidential technical information.” *Digital Reg. of Texas, LLC v. Adobe Sys., Inc.*, No. C 12-1971 CW, 2014 WL 6986068, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 10, 2014).

24       The portions of Google’s Opposition highlighted in yellow, the portions of Exhibits 5, 8, 9,  
 25 13, 19, 20, 21, 22, and 24 outlined in red boxes, and Exhibits 10 and 25 contain confidential and  
 26 trade secret information regarding highly sensitive features of Google’s products. Specifically, the  
 27 above-listed documents contain source code for and detail the operation and system design of  
 28 Google products and functionalities that Sonos accuses of infringement, that Google contends is

1 prior art, and/or that are currently under development and have not yet been released. Public  
 2 disclosure of this information would harm the competitive standing Google has earned through years  
 3 of innovation and careful deliberation by revealing sensitive aspects of Google's proprietary  
 4 systems, strategies, designs, and practices to Google's competitors. Declaration of Nima Hefazi ¶  
 5 4. Thus, Google has good cause to keep such information under seal. *See, e.g., Guzik Tech.*  
 6 *Enterprises, Inc. v. W. Digital Corp.*, No. 5:11-CV-03786-PSG, 2013 WL 6199629, at \*4 (N.D. Cal.  
 7 Nov. 27, 2013) (sealing exhibit containing "significant references to and discussion regarding the  
 8 technical features" of a litigant's products). Cf. *See e.g., Icon-IP Pty Ltd. v. Specialized Bicycle*  
 9 *Components, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-03844-JST, 2015 WL 984121, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 4, 2015)  
 10 (materials that detail product architecture are even "appropriately sealable under the 'compelling  
 11 reasons' standard where that information could be used to the company's competitive  
 12 disadvantage") (citation omitted); *Delphix Corp. v. Actifio, Inc.*, No. 13-cv-04613-BLF, 2014 WL  
 13 4145520, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 20, 2014) (finding compelling reasons to seal where court filings  
 14 contained "highly sensitive information regarding [an entity's confidential] product architecture and  
 15 development").

#### 16 IV. CONCLUSION

17 For the foregoing reasons, Google respectfully requests that the Court grant Google's  
 18 Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Portions of its Opposition to Sonos's Motion to Strike  
 19 Portions of Google's Noninfringement and Invalidity Expert Reports.

20 DATED: February 10, 2023

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN,  
 21 LLP

22 By: /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven  
 23 Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)  
 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com  
 24 Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)  
 melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com  
 25 James Judah (Bar No. 257112)  
 jamesjudah@quinnemanuel.com  
 26 Lindsay Cooper (Bar No. 287125)  
 lindsaycooper@quinnemanuel.com  
 27 50 California Street, 22nd Floor  
 San Francisco, California 94111-4788  
 28 Telephone: (415) 875-6600

1 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700  
2

3 Marc Kaplan (*pro hac vice*)  
4 marckaplan@quinnemanuel.com  
5 191 N. Wacker Drive, Ste 2700  
6 Chicago, Illinois 60606  
7 Telephone: (312) 705-7400  
8 Facsimile: (312) 705-7401  
9

10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Attorneys for GOOGLE LLC

## ATTESTATION

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 5-1, I hereby certify that, on February 10, 2023, all counsel of record who have appeared in this case are being served with a copy of the foregoing via the Court's CM/ECF system and email.

DATED: February 10, 2023

By: /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven  
Charles K. Verhoeven